- 1 A. Yes, I've spoken quite a bit more with
- 2 him than obviously Ellis.
- 3 Q. How often would you say you speak to
- 4 Mr. Lokting?
- 5 A. Probably once a quarter.
- Q. Do these reports go to anyone else
- 7 before they go to Mr. Lokting?
- 8 A. No.
- 9 Q. Does Mr. Lokting typically contact you
- 10 or do you contact him?
- 11 A. I probably initiate it. There are
- deadlines involved and I just try to manage my
- 13 schedule.
- Q. What is your purpose for contacting
- 15 Mr. Lokting?
- 16 A. To prompt him to get the footnotes
- ready, to let him know that it's coming.
- 18 Q. Has he ever made or suggested changes
- 19 to the report?
- A. He has not suggested changes to any of
- 21 the numbers that are presented. The numbers more
- 22 or less are what they are. But he does make
- revisions as I said to the footnotes.
- Q. Has he ever questioned any of the
- 25 numbers?

1 A. He asks for I'd say explanations of the

- 2 numbers, what is this number, accounts receivable
- 3 went up, why did it go up, inventory went up, why
- 4 did it go up. So he does a review of the
- 5 statements.
- Q. Does he have questions which illustrate
- 7 that he's done an extensive review of the
- 8 statements?
- 9 A. I would say so. He picks up on things
- 10 pretty quickly.
- 11 Q. Do you know if he has any financial
- 12 background?
- A. I don't know, but it appears that he's
- 14 familiar with it and comfortable with it.
- Q. Do you know if Ellis Thompson
- 16 Corporation has any independent CPA or accounting
- 17 people look at the statements?
- 18 A. I don't know that for sure. I mean
- 19 there's taxes prepared by -- I'm sure Ellis has a
- 20 CPA doing his taxes, so I'm sure there's some
- 21 review there.
- Q. Has Mr. Lokting ever given you any
- 23 instructions?
- A. I'm sure, I mean that's a very broad
- 25 question.

- 1 Q. Have you ever had to take any action
- 2 based upon something Mr. Lokting has told you to
- 3 do?
- A. Like fax something to him? Sure.
- 5 Change anything in the statements or anything
- 6 like that, no.
- 7 Q. Do you have any duties or
- 8 responsibilities which require Mr. Thompson's
- 9 prior approval?
- 10 A. I won't release the statements until
- 11 I've heard from David Lokting to do so. You
- 12 know, again I'm not at the operating level where
- that type of preapproval is required other than
- 14 the external financial statements.
- Q. When you say release the statements,
- you mean release them to where?
- 17 A. To the bank.
- 18 Q. So you do have Mr. Lokting or
- 19 Mr. Thompson's approval prior to releasing
- 20 statements to the bank?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. What bank does Mr. Thompson have his
- 23 loan from?
- 24 A. PNC.
- Q. Which is Provident National?

#### ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

- 1 A. Yes.
- Q. Do all the other Comcast systems use
- 3 this same bank?
- A. We use them to various degrees. I
- 5 don't believe PNC has our debt.
- Q. Does it have Mr. Thompson's debt?
- 7 A. PNC?
- 8 Q. Yes.
- 9 A. Yes. Actually Toronto Dominion is
- 10 where our debt is.
- 11 Q. And the our you're referring to is
- 12 Comcast?
- 13 A. Yes.
- Q. Do you have any check signing authority
- for Ellis Thompson Corporation?
- 16 A. No.
- Q. Do you in any way review the checks
- which are signed for Ellis Thompson Corporation?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. To your knowledge is that done by the
- 21 controller in Wilmington?
- 22 A. Yes, yes, very definitely.
- 23 Q. Does the controller in Wilmington send
- 24 you information in order to prepare your report
- about the Atlantic City system?

- 1 A. Yes, he does, he sends me balance
- 2 sheet, income statement, trial balance.
- Q. What type of review do you do of that
- 4 material?
- 5 A. In the process of preparing financial
- 6 statements, if something looked awry, if you
- 7 will, I certainly would pick up on it. As part
- 8 of looking at their internal things, there's an
- 9 operations review that takes place in Wilmington
- 10 for the three Wilmington markets, if you will.
- 11 That's where the information is scrutinized. At
- my level it would be sort of an after-the-fact
- 13 kind of thing, the preparation of the
- 14 statements.
- 15 Q. Do you have any employees who report
- 16 directly to you?
- 17 A. Yes.
- Q. How many?
- 19 A. Eight or nine, direct and indirect
- 20 reports.
- 21 . Have you ever had any discussions with
- these people about the Atlantic City system?
- 23 A. Sure.
- Q. And what have you told them about the
- 25 Atlantic City system?

1	A. Again over the years I've had many
2	discussions with them about the Atlantic City
3	system.
4	Q. More specifically have you ever had any
5	discussions with them about the ownership of the
6	Atlantic City system?
7	A. I would have to say yes, although I
8	don't recall. Again it's pretty common knowledge
9	that we don't own the system, Ellis does.
10	Q. Who do you report to?
11	A. Anna.
12	Q. Have you ever attended an Ellis
13	Thompson Corporation quarterly meeting?
14	A. No.
15	MR. WEBER: Thank you, Mr. Sauder.
16	That's all I have.
17	MR. GURMAN: No questions.
18	
19	
20	•
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	(Thereupon, at 12:55 p.m., the taking
2	of the instant deposition ceased.)
3	
4	- HAWAM
5	Signature of the Witness
6	
7	SUBSCRIBED AND SWORN to before me this 374
8	day of, 1993.
9	
10	aprilus V. Muls
11	$U_{ exttt{NOTARY PUBLIC}}$
12	My Commission Expires
13	NOTARIAL SEAL
14	CYNTHIA V. SYLVESTER, Notary Public Wayne, Chester County My Commission Expires April 19, 1997
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	

CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA ) ss.:

DISTRICT OF COLUMBIA

I, JAN A. WILLIAMS, the officer before whom the foregoing deposition was taken, do hereby certify that the witness whose

testimony appears in the foregoing deposition was duly sworn by me;

that the testimony of said witness was taken by me to the best of my

ability and thereafter reduced to typewriting under my direction; that

I am neither counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the

parties to the action in which this deposition was taken, and further

that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel

employed by the parties thereto, nor financially or otherwise interested

in the outcome of the action.

Notary Public in and for

the District of Columbia

My commission expires: 03-31-97

•

9

Page	0	f
------	---	---

Notice Date:

04/26/95

Case Name:

In Re: Ellis Thompson Corporation

Case Number:

14261-CL-P-134-A-86

Dep. Date:

04/25/95

Deponent: Jeffrey E. Smith Place: Washington, D.C.

## CORRECTIONS:

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	Now Reads	Should Read	Reasons <u>Therefore</u>
6	14	associate of	associate at	Clarification
8	17-18	At this time only one which is not separately owned.	At this time only one of which is separately owned.	Clarification
15	12	for sometime in 1994.	for some time during 1994.	Clarification
19	7-9	previously owned by Mid- Communications and Kingdom News. Philadelphia, Wilmington, Mercer, are owned by Comcast also, Cumberland County is	previously owned by Midland Communications, and Kingdom Huges. Philadelphia, Wilmington Mercer, are owned by Com Cumberland County is	,
21	7	National calling Network delivery	national wireless call delivery	Clarification
21	12	on his behalf as	on Ellis Thompson Corporation's behalf as	Clarification

Notice Date:

04/26/95

Case Name:

In Re: Ellis Thompson Corporation

Case Number:

14261-CL-P-134-A-86

Dep. Date:

04/25/95

Deponent: Place: Jeffrey E. Smith Washington, D.C.

## CORRECTIONS:

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	Now Reads	Should Read	Reasons <u>Therefore</u>
21	15	and then passed them to him	and then to pass them to him	Clarification
21	19	with Signal in which that contract	with The Signal. That contract	Clarification
21	24	Signal	The Signal	Clarification
23	12	played the role	play the role	Clarification
23	15	then afterwards primarily	then at the meetings primarily	Clarification
23	23	Mr. Watson or Ms. Hillman	Mr. Watson, or Ms. Hillman	Clarification
28	4	I believe eight.	I believe eight, as of December, 1994. Eleven now.	Clarification
29	13-14	to speak in too much of a generally there.	to speak too general- ly there.	Clarification

Page	of	

Notice Date:

04/26/95

Case Name:

In Re: Ellis Thompson Corporation 14261-CL-P-134-A-86

Case Number:

Dep. Date:

04/25/95

Deponent: Place:

Jeffrey E. Smith Washington, D.C.

## CORRECTIONS:

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	Now Reads	Should Read	Reasons <u>Therefore</u>
31	6	times where those	times when those	Clarification
32	20	Again, in addition	In addition	Clarification
34	21	And I am consistently reminding	And I am regularly reminding	Clarification
34	23	That's not to say that one disregards	That's not to say that anyone disregards	Clarification
35	4	There was one instance	No. There was one instance	Clarification
35	12	in every system	in every system of ours	Clarification
41	12	manager of the Wilmington system at that time	manager of the Wilmington system	Clarification
41	22	in Cranberry, New Jersey.	in Cranbury, New Jersey.	Clarification

Page	of	

Notice Date:

04/26/95

Case Name:

In Re: Ellis Thompson Corporation

Case Number:

14261-CL-P-134-A-86

Dep. Date:

04/25/95

Deponent: Place:

Jeffrey E. Smith Washington, D.C.

## CORRECTIONS:

<u>Page</u>	<u>Line</u>	Now Reads	Should Read	Reasons <u>Therefore</u>
42	13	my tenure I have	my tenure we have	Clarification

Signature of Deponent

Date of Signature

# ORIGINAL

1

1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
2	WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554
3	CC DOCKET NO. 94-136 -
4 .	X
5	In re Application of :
6	ELLIS THOMPSON : File No.
7	CORPORATION : 14261-CL-P-134-A-86
8	X
9	Washington, D.C.
L 0	Tuesday, April 25, 1995
1	Deposition of JEFFREY E. SMITH, a
L 2	witness herein, called for examination by counsel
L 3	for Federal Communications Commission in the
L <b>4</b>	above-entitled matter, pursuant to agreement, the
L 5	witness being duly sworn by JAN A. WILLIAMS, a
L 6	Notary Public in and for the District of
L 7	Columbia, taken at the offices of Gurman, Kurtis,
L 8	Blask & Freedman, Suite 500, 1400 16th Street,
L 9	N.W., Washington, D.C., 20036, at 9:10 a.m.,
2 0	Tuesday, April 25, 1995, and the proceedings
21	being taken down by Stenotype by JAN A. WILLIAMS
22	and transcribed under her direction.
23	
24	
25	

ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

1	APPEARANCES:
2	
3	On behalf of The Wireless Telecommunications
4	Bureau of the Federal Communications
5	Commission:
6	JOSEPH PAUL WEBER, ESQ.
7	TERRENCE E. REIDELER, ESQ.
8	The Wireless Telecommunications Bureau
9	Federal Communications Commission
10	1919 M Street, N.W., Room 644
11	Washington, D.C. 20554
12	(202) 418-1317
13	
14	On behalf of Ellis Thompson Corporation:
15	STEVE D. LARSON, ESQ.
16	Stoll, Stoll, Berne, Lokting &
17	Shlachter, P.C.
18	209 Southwest Oak Street
19	Portland, Oregon 97204
20	. (503) 227-1600
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

1	APPEARANCES: (Continued)
2	•
3	On behalf of American Cellular Network
4	Corp.:
5	LOUIS GURMAN, ESQ.
6	Gurman, Kurtis, Blask & Freedman
7	Suite 500
8	1400 16th Street, N.W.
9	Washington, D.C. 20036
10	(202) 328-8200
11	and
12	ALLAN S. HOFFMAN, ESQ.
13	Suite 500
14	1400 16th Street, N.W.
15	Washington, D.C. 20036
16	(202) 265-3165
17	
18	On behalf of Telephone & Data Systems, Inc.:
19	HERBERT D. MILLER, JR., ESQ.
20	. Koteen & Naftalin
21	1150 Connecticut Avenue
22	Washington, D.C. 20036
23	(202) 467-5700
24	
25	

## ALDERSON REPORTING COMPANY, INC.

1	C O N T E N T	S
2	THE WITNESS EXAMINA	TION BY COUNSEL FOR
3	JEFFREY E. SMITH FEDER	AL COMMUNICATIONS
4		COMMISSION
5	By Mr. Weber	5
6	AMERICA	N CELLULAR NETWORK
7	By Mr. Gurman	42
8	FEDER	AL COMMUNICATIONS
9		COMMISSION
10	By Mr. Weber	43
11		
12	EXHIBIT	S
13	SMITH EXHIBIT NO.	PAGE NO.
14	1	12
15	2	15
16	3	18
17	4	19
18	5	31
19	6	36
20	7 .	40
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		

2	Whereupon,
3	JEFFREY E. SMITH,
4 .	business address at Comcast Cellular
5	Communications, Inc., 480 E. Swedesford Road,
6	Wayne, Pennsylvania, 19087-1867, was called as a
7	witness by counsel for Federal Communications
8	Commission, and having been duly sworn by the
9	Notary Public, was examined and testified as
10	follows:
11	EXAMINATION BY COUNSEL FOR
12	FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION
13	BY MR. WEBER:
14	Q. Good morning, Mr. Smith, my name is
15	Joseph Weber and I represent The Wireless
16	Telecommunications Bureau of the FCC.
17	Could you please state your name for
18	the record.
19	A. Jeffrey E. Smith.
20	Q. What is your business address?
21	A. 480 East Swedesford Road,
22	S-w-e-d-e-s-f-o-r-d, Wayne, Pennsylvania, 19087.
23	Q. And what is your occupation?
24	A. I am vice-president and general counsel
25	of Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc., and

PROCEEDINGS

1

- deputy general counsel of Comcast Corporation.
- Q. What is your educational background?
- A. I received my bachelor's degree from
- 4 Brown University in 1984 and my law degree from
- 5 Harvard in 1987.
- 6 Q. How long have you been in your current
- 7 position?
- A. I have been deputy general counsel of
- 9 Comcast since April of 1992 and vice-president
- and general counsel of Comcast Cellular since
- 11 June of '94.
- 12 Q. And what was your position prior to
- 13 your current position?
- 14 A. I was an associate of the law firm of
- Drinker, Biddle & Reath in Philadelphia.
- Q. What are your duties and
- 17 responsibilities?
- 18 A. I act in the capacity of counsel to the
- 19 cellular division primarily of Comcast
- 20 Corporation, advising them on all aspects of
- 21 contract negotiation, litigation management,
- 22 similar activities.
- Q. Who do you report to?
- A. I report to Stanley Wang who is general
- counsel of Comcast Corporation and report on a

- dotted line basis to Don Harris who is president
- 2 of Comcast Cellular.
- 3 O. Was it one of these two individuals
- 4 that hired you?
- 5 A. Stanley Wang.
- Q. Does Mr. Wang report to Mr. Harris?
- 7 A. No. Mr. Wang reports to Brian Roberts.
- 8 Q. And who is he?
- 9 A. President of Comcast Corporation.
- 10 Q. Do any employees report to you?
- 11 A. Other than my secretary, no.
- 12 Q. Now, in your capacity under Comcast
- 13 Cellular, how many cellular systems are within
- 14 your jurisdiction?
- 15 A. We treat them as -- Comcast Cellular
- 16 has a number of subsidiaries, I have to count.
- 17 Eight. Is that correct? Nine.
- 18 MR. GURMAN: Aurora in Illinois,
- 19 Joliet.

ξ

- THE WITNESS: Dover, Wilmington.
- MR. GURMAN: Long Branch, nine.
- BY MR. WEBER:
- Q. Is Atlantic City one of these nine?
- A. No, it is not.
- Q. So these nine are ones that Comcast

- 1 actually owns itself?
- 2 A. Yes, either owns controlling interest
- 3 or are wholly owned subsidiaries of Comcast
- 4 Cellular.
- 5 Q. Do you do any work which relates to the
- 6 Atlantic City cellular system?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. And in what capacity is that?
- 9 A. Comcast Cellular serves as management
- 10 agent to Ellis Thompson Corporation and, in
- connection with performance under that management
- 12 agreement, I provide services to Comcast
- 13 Cellular.
- 14 Q. How many systems does Comcast Cellular
- have management agreements with in which you do
- work for the cellular system?
- A. At this time only one which is not
- separately owned. There are internal management
- 19 agreements, but Ellis Thompson Corporation is the
- only independent system that we manage.
- Q. So is it correct to say then that you
- 22 do work relating to ten different cellular
- 23 systems?
- 24 A. Yes.
- Q. What are your duties and

- 1 responsibilities as to the Atlantic City system?
- 2 A. Primarily to ensure our compliance with
- 3 the management agreement, to respond to questions
- 4 regarding how we should deal directly with --
- 5 deal with Ellis Thompson Corporation, to
- 6 interface between David Lokting and Comcast
- 7 Cellular.
- 8 MR. GURMAN: Can I interrupt for one
- 9 second. Just in terms of a point of
- 10 clarification, referring to Comcast Cellular, the
- entity that is actually managing it is American
- 12 Cellular Network Corp. doing business as Comcast
- 13 Cellular. And then there's a parent company
- that's Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc...
- 15 THE WITNESS: I'm referring to Comcast
- 16 Cellular as overall for all the entities.
- 17 Actually the management agreement is with Amcell
- of Atlantic City, Inc. And so technically the
- 19 relationship is there, although --
- BY MR. WEBER:

ţ

- Q. Why don't you explain the relationship
- 22 between Amcell and Comcast.
- A. Comcast Cellular Communications, Inc.,
- is the parent corporation of American Cellular
- Network Corp. which is the parent corporation of

- 1 Amcell of Atlantic City, Inc.
- Q. And each is a wholly owned subsidiary?
- A. Each is a wholly owned subsidiary.
- 4 O. Do you negotiate contracts with others
- on behalf of Comcast for the Atlantic City
- 6 system?
- 7 A. No.
- 8 Q. Have you ever negotiated any contract
- 9 on behalf of Comcast for the Atlantic City
- 10 system?
- 11 A. No.
- Q. And here, when I'm referring to
- 13 Comcast, I'm also referring to Amcell. Any one
- of the parent or the subsidiaries?
- 15 A. No.
- Q. Did you play any role in the
- 17 negotiation of the management contract for the
- 18 Atlantic City system?
- 19 A. No.
- Q. Do you know Ellis Thompson?
- 21 A. Yes.
- Q. And who is he?
- 23 A. Ellis Thompson is the wholly owned --
- is the shareholder of Ellis Thompson Corporation
- which is the licensee of the system.

- 1 Q. Do you report to him?
- 2 A. No.
- Q. Are you required to seek his approval
- 4 on any matters?
- 5 A. Yes.
- 6 Q. What matters?
- 7 A. Do you mean me directly?
- 8 Q. You directly.
- 9 A. No, I do not directly seek his approval
- in any matters.
- 11 Q. Who at Comcast does directly need his
- 12 approval on matters?
- 13 A. With respect to the Atlantic City
- 14 system and the operations there, I suppose all of
- us obtain his approval. In terms of any
- 16 reporting structure, there's no one who reports
- 17 directly to him on any matter.
- Q. Do any people report directly to him
- 19 through David Lokting?
- 20 A. Yes, we all work through David Lokting
- 21 and have independent contact with Ellis Thompson
- 22 with respect to approval of those items that
- 23 pertain to the Atlantic City system.
- MR. WEBER: I'd like to have this first
- 25 exhibit marked as Smith Exhibit 1. For the

- 1 record this is a multipage document titled at the
- 2 top Agreement with Bates stamps running AM 144261
- 3 through AM 144300.
- 4 (Smith Exhibit No. 1 was
- 5 marked for identification.)
- BY MR. WEBER:
- 7 Q. Have you ever seen this document
- 8 before, sir?
- 9 A. Yes.
- 10 Q. And can you tell me what it is?
- 11 A. This is the agreement between Amcell of
- 12 Atlantic City and Ellis Thompson Corporation and
- 13 Ellis Thompson regarding initially the
- 14 construction, turnkey construction of the
- cellular system, and subsequently the management
- of that system on his behalf.
- 17 Q. To your knowledge did Mr. Thompson or
- 18 his counsel play any role in the negotiation of
- 19 this contract?
- A. To my knowledge they did, yes.
- Q. Has there been any significant
- 22 amendments or modifications to the contract since
- 23 it was signed?
- A. Only one since -- other than the three
- 25 reflected here. And we have not commemorated it